Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,010	SOMOGYI, ALEXANDER J.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Satish S. Rampuria	2191
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) Satish S. Rampuria.	(3)	
(2) <u>Thomas Plunkett (57,253)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 3 January 2008	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 8,9,21,22		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.	•	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner discussed with Mr. Plunkett, the attorney of the record to replace terminology with Trademarks in the claim. For details please see the Examiner's amendment.		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
Satisted Remobile		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Sig	nature – if appropriate)